arXiv:1406.5458v1 [math.NT] 20 Jun 2014

ANOTHER PROOF OF TWO MODULO 3 CONGRUENCES AND ANOTHER SPT CRANK FOR THE NUMBER OF SMALLEST PARTS IN OVERPARTITIONS WITH EVEN SMALLEST PART

CHRIS JENNINGS-SHAFFER

Abstract. By considering the M_2-rank of an overpartition as well as a residual crank, we give another combinatorial refinement of the congruences $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(3n) \equiv \overline{\text{spt}}_2(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Here $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)$ is the total number of occurrences of the smallest parts among the overpartitions of n where the smallest part is even and not overlined. Our proof depends on Bailey's Lemma and the rank difference formulas of Lovejoy and Osburn for the M_2-rank of an overpartition. This congruence, along with a modulo 5 congruence, has previously been refined using the rank of an overpartition.

1. Introduction and statement of Results

We recall a partition of a positive integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers that sum to n. As an example, the partitions of 4 are 4, $3+1$, $2+2$, $2+1+1$, and $1+1+1+1$. Similar to this is the idea of an overpartition. An overpartition of n is a partition of n where the first occurrence of a part may (or may not) be overlined. For example, the overpartitions of 4 are 4, $\overline{4}$, $3+1$, $3+\overline{1}$, $\overline{3}+1$, $\overline{3}+\overline{1}$, $2+2$, $\overline{2}+2$, $2+1+1$, $2+\overline{1}+1$, $\overline{2}+1+1$, $\overline{2}+\overline{1}+1$, $1+1+1+1$, and $\overline{1}+1+1+1$.

We have a weighted count on partitions, and overpartitions, given by counting a partition by the number of times the smallest occurs. We use the convention of not counting overpartitions where the smallest part is overlined. We let $\text{spt}(n)$ denote the total number of occurrences of the smallest parts among the partitions of n. We let $\overline{\text{spt}}(n)$ denote the total number of occurrences of the smallest parts among the overpartitions of n without smallest part overlined. The function $\text{spt}(n)$ was introduced by Andrews in [1] and the function $\overline{\text{spt}}(n)$ was introduced by Bringmann, Lovejoy, and Osburn in [3]. Two restrictions of $\overline{\text{spt}}(n)$ are $\overline{\text{spt}}_1(n)$ and $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)$, where restrict to overpartitions where the smallest part is odd and even respectively. We see $\text{spt}(4) = 10$, $\overline{\text{spt}}(4) = 13$, $\overline{\text{spt}}_1(4) = 10$, and $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(4) = 3$.

Similar to the work of Andrews, Garvan, and Liang in [2] for $\text{spt}(n)$, in [4] Garvan and the author gave combinatorial refinements of congruences satisfied by $\overline{\text{spt}}(n)$, $\overline{\text{spt}}_1(n)$, and $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)$. The idea is to introduce an extra variable into the generating function of each spt-function to get a crank type statistic. This statistic can then be shown in certain cases to equally split up the numbers $\overline{\text{spt}}(n)$, $\overline{\text{spt}}_1(n)$, and $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)$ based on the residue class of the statistic. We explain this in more detail shortly.

For $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)$ we have the congruences

$$\overline{\text{spt}}_2(3n) \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\overline{\text{spt}}_2(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\overline{\text{spt}}_2(5n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 5. \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper we give another proof of the modulo 3 congruences.

To start, by summing according to the smallest part, we find a generating function for $\overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)$ to be given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{spt}}_2(n)\, q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}\left(-q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty}{(1-q^{2n})^2\left(q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty}. \tag{1.4}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P81, 11P83, 05A17.
Key words and phrases. Number theory, partitions, overpartitions, smallest parts function, congruences, ranks, cranks, Bailey pairs, Bailey's Lemma.

Here we use the standard product notation,

$$(a;q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^j), \tag{1.5}$$

$$(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k;q)_n = (a_1;q)_n\,(a_2;q)_n\dots(a_k;q)_n\,, \tag{1.6}$$

$$(a;q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^j), \tag{1.7}$$

$$(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k;q)_\infty = (a_1;q)_\infty\,(a_2;q)_\infty\dots(a_k;q)_\infty\,. \tag{1.8}$$

In [4] we considered the two variable generalization given by

$$\overline{\mathrm{S}}_2(z,q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n}\left(-q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty\left(q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty}{\left(zq^{2n};q\right)_\infty\left(z^{-1}q^{2n};q\right)_\infty}. \tag{1.9}$$

We note setting $z=1$ gives the generating function for $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(n)$. It turns out $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_2(z,q)$ can be expressed in terms of the Dyson rank of an overpartition and a residual crank from [3]. In [6] Lovejoy and Osburn determined difference formulas for the Dyson rank of an overpartition, these formulas are essential to the proofs in [4]. In the same paper, we also used the difference formulas for the M_2-rank of a partition without repeated odd parts, these formulas were also determined by Lovejoy and Osburn in [7]. Lovejoy and Osburn also found difference formulas for the M_2-rank of an overpartition [8], but we did not use these formulas in [4].

In [5] the author gave higher order generalizations of $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}\,(n)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(n)$ and noted that one could use the M_2-rank and another residual crank from [3], as used in that paper in working with $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(n)$, to explain the modulo 3 congruences for $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(n)$. Here we give the proof. In this paper we instead use

$$\mathrm{S}(z,q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n}\left(-q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty\left(q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty}{\left(zq^{2n},z^{-1}q^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty\left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \tag{1.10}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)z^mq^n. \tag{1.11}$$

Again setting $z=1$ gives the generating function for $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(n)$. This is not the same series $S(z,q)$ used in [4], however we do not want to overly complicate matters with additional notation.

For a positive integer t we let

$$N_{\mathrm{S}}(k,t,n) = \sum_{m\equiv k \pmod t}N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n). \tag{1.12}$$

We then have

$$\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n) = \sum_{k=0}^{t-1}N_{\mathrm{S}}(k,t,n). \tag{1.13}$$

Additionally we see if ζ is a t^{th} root of unity, then

$$\mathrm{S}(\zeta,q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{t-1}N_{\mathrm{S}}(k,t,n)\zeta^k\right)q^n. \tag{1.14}$$

We consider when $\zeta=\zeta_3$ is a primitive third root of unity. Here the minimal polynomial for ζ_3 is x^2+x+1. If $N_{\mathrm{S}}(0,t,N)+N_{\mathrm{S}}(1,t,N)\zeta_3+N_{\mathrm{S}}(2,t,N)\zeta_3^2=0$ then we must in fact have $N_{\mathrm{S}}(0,t,N)=N_{\mathrm{S}}(1,t,N)=N_{\mathrm{S}}(2,t,N)$. That is to say, if the coefficient of q^N in $\mathrm{S}(\zeta_3,q)$ is zero, then $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(N)=3\cdot N_{\mathrm{S}}(0,t,N)$ and so $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(N)\equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

Our proof of $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(3n)\equiv\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2\,(3n+1)\equiv 0$ is to find the 3-dissection of $\mathrm{S}(\zeta_3,q)$ with the q^{3n} and q^{3n+1} terms being all zero. This is the same idea that was used in [4], we are just using $\mathrm{S}(z,q)$ rather than $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_2(z,q)$.

Theorem 1.1.

$$\mathrm{S}(\zeta_3, q) = A_0(q^3) + qA_1(q^3) + q^2A_2(q^3), \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$A_0(q) = 0, \tag{1.16}$$

$$A_1(q) = 0, \tag{1.17}$$

$$A_2(q) = \frac{\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty^4}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2} + \frac{2q\left(-q^3;q^3\right)_\infty}{\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{3n^2+6n}}{1-q^{6n+2}}. \tag{1.18}$$

We prove these formulas by relating $\mathrm{S}(z,q)$ to a certain rank and crank and using dissections of these related functions. We recall the M_2-rank of an overpartition π is given by

$$M_2\text{-rank} = \left\lceil \frac{l(\pi)}{2} \right\rceil - \#(\pi) + \#(\pi_o) - \chi(\pi), \tag{1.19}$$

where $l(\pi)$ is the largest part of π, $\#(\pi)$ is the number of parts of π, $\#(\pi_o)$ is the number of non-overlined odd parts, and $\chi(\pi) = 1$ if the largest part of π is odd and non-overlined and otherwise $\chi(\pi) = 0$. The M_2-rank was introduced by Lovejoy in [8]. We let $\overline{N2}(m,n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n with M_2-rank m. Lovejoy found the generating function for $\overline{N2}$ is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{N2}(m,n) z^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1;q)_{2n}\, q^n}{\left(zq^2, z^{-1}q^2; q^2\right)_n} = \frac{(-q;q)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})(-1)^n q^{n^2+2n}}{(1-zq^{2n})(1-z^{-1}q^{2n})}\right). \tag{1.20}$$

We also use a residual crank first defined [3]. We define

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{M2}(m,n) z^m q^n = \frac{(-q;q)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty \left(zq^2;q^2\right)_\infty \left(z^{-1}q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}. \tag{1.21}$$

The interpretation of this is as follows. For an overpartition π of n we take the crank of the partition $\frac{\pi_e}{2}$ obtained by taking the subpartition π_e, of the even non-overlined parts of π, and halving each part of π_e. Then $\overline{M2}(m,n)$ is the number of overpartitions π of n and such that the partition $\frac{\pi_e}{2}$ has crank m. However this interpretation fails when considering overpartitions whose only even non-overlined parts are a single two, as the corresponding interpretation of $\frac{(q;q)_\infty}{(zq,q/z;q)_\infty}$ as the generating function of the crank for ordinary partitions fails for the partition of 1.

Theorem 1.2.

$$\mathrm{S}(z,q) = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\overline{N2}(m,n) - \overline{M2}(m,n)\right) z^m q^n. \tag{1.22}$$

Using the rank difference formulas derived by Lovejoy and Osburn in [8], we have

Theorem 1.3.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{N2}(m,n) \zeta_3^m q^n = \overline{N2}_0(q^3) + q\overline{N2}_1(q^3) + q^2\overline{N2}_2(q^3), \tag{1.23}$$

where

$$\overline{N2}_0(q) = \frac{(-q;q)_\infty \left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2}{(q;q)_\infty \left(-q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2}, \tag{1.24}$$

$$\overline{N2}_1(q) = \frac{2\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty \left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty}, \tag{1.25}$$

$$\overline{N2}_2(q) = \frac{4\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty^4}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2} + \frac{6q\left(-q^3;q^3\right)_\infty}{\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{3n^2+6n}}{1-q^{6n+2}}. \tag{1.26}$$

Theorem 1.4.

$$\frac{(-q;q)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty \left(\zeta_3 q^2, \zeta_3^{-1}q^2; q^2\right)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{M2}(m,n)\zeta_3^m q^n = \overline{M2}_0(q^3) + q\overline{M2}_1(q^3) + q^2\overline{M2}_2(q^3), \tag{1.27}$$

where

$$\overline{M2}_0(q) = \frac{(-q;q)_\infty \left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2}{(q;q)_\infty \left(-q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2}, \tag{1.28}$$

$$\overline{M2}_1(q) = 2\frac{\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty \left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty}, \tag{1.29}$$

$$\overline{M2}_2(q) = \frac{\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty^4}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty \left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^2}. \tag{1.30}$$

We see Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4, noting $\frac{1}{(1-\zeta_3)(1-\zeta_3^{-1})} = \frac{1}{3}$. We give the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in the next section. In Section 3 we give brief combinatorial interpretations of the coefficients $N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)$, in particular they are non-negative, and in Section 4 we end with a few remarks.

2. The Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We recall a pair of sequences (α_n, β_n), is a Bailey pair relative to (a,q) if

$$\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_r}{(q;q)_{n-r}\,(aq;q)_{n+r}}. \tag{2.1}$$

A limiting case of Bailey's Lemma gives for a Bailey pair (α_n, β_n) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\rho_1,\rho_2;q)_n \left(\frac{aq}{\rho_1\rho_2}\right)^n \beta_n = \frac{(aq/\rho_1, aq/\rho_2;q)_\infty}{(aq, aq/\rho_1\rho_2;q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho_1,\rho_2;q)_n \,(\frac{aq}{\rho_1\rho_2})^n \alpha_n}{(aq/\rho_1, aq/\rho_2;q)_n}. \tag{2.2}$$

As in [5] the Bailey pair connecting the M_2-rank of an overpartition and the residual crank is

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n=0 \\ (-1)^n 2q^{n^2} & n \geq 1 \end{cases}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\left(q;q^2\right)_n^2}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_{2n}}. \tag{2.4}$$

This is a Bailey pair with respect to $(1,q^2)$.

We note that

$$\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty (q;q^2)_\infty^2} \cdot \frac{\left(zq^2, z^{-1}q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty^2} = \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})\,(q;q)_\infty^2} = \frac{(-q;q)_\infty}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})\,(q;q)_\infty}. \tag{2.5}$$

With this Bailey pair we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}(z,q) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}\left(-q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty}{\left(zq^{2n}, z^{-1}q^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}\left(q^{4n+2};q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(zq^{2n}, z^{-1}q^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \\
&= \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty (q;q^2)_\infty^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{2n}\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_n \beta_n \\
&= \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty (q;q^2)_\infty^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n}\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_n \beta_n - \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty (q;q^2)_\infty^2} \\
&= \frac{(-q;q)_\infty}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})\,(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_n \alpha_n}{\left(zq^2, z^{-1}q^2;q^2\right)_n} - \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty (q;q^2)_\infty^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(-q;q)_\infty}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})\,(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}(1-z)(1-z^{-1})\alpha_n}{(1-zq^{2n})(1-z^{-1}q^{2n})} - \frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty \left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty}$$
$$= \frac{(-q;q)_\infty}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})\,(q;q)_\infty} \left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})(-1)^n q^{n^2+2n}}{(1-zq^{2n})(1-z^{-1}q^{2n})}\right) - \frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty \left(z,z^{-1};q^2\right)_\infty}. \tag{2.6}$$

By equations (1.20) and (1.21) we then have

$$\mathrm{S}(z,q) = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z^{-1})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\overline{N2}(m,n) - \overline{M2}(m,n)\right) z^m q^n. \tag{2.7}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We begin by noting

$$\frac{(-q;q)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty \left(\zeta_3 q^2, \zeta_3^{-1} q^2; q^2\right)_\infty} = \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(q;q^2)_\infty^2 \left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty}. \tag{2.8}$$

By Gauss we have

$$\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2}. \tag{2.9}$$

By the Jacobi Triple Product Identity we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q^2)_\infty} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(3n+k)(3n+k+1)/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(9n^2+3n)/2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(9n^2+9n)/2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(9n^2+15n)/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(-q^6, -q^3, q^9; q^9\right)_\infty + \frac{1}{2} q \left(-1, -q^9, q^9; q^9\right)_\infty + \frac{1}{2} \left(-q^{-3}, -q^{12}, q^9; q^9\right)_\infty \\
&= \left(-q^6, -q^3, q^9; q^9\right)_\infty + q \left(-q^9, -q^9, q^9; q^9\right)_\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Using the above to expand $\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(q;q^2)_\infty^2}$ and dividing by $\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty$ then gives

$$\overline{M2}_0(q) = \frac{\left(-q, -q^2, q^3; q^3\right)_\infty^2}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\overline{M2}_1(q) = 2\frac{\left(-q, -q^2, -q^3, -q^3, q^3, q^3; q^3\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\overline{M2}_2(q) = \frac{\left(-q^3, -q^3, q^3; q^3\right)_\infty^2}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}. \tag{2.13}$$

However these products easily reduce to those in the statement of the Theorem 1.4. □

3. Combinatorial Interpretations

We see $N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)$ can be interpreted in terms of vector partitions. We let $\overline{V} = \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the set of all partitions and $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the set of all partitions into distinct parts. For a partition π we let $s(\pi)$ denote the smallest part of π (with the convention that the empty partition has smallest part ∞), $\#(\pi)$ the number of parts in π, $\#(\pi_e)$ the number of even parts in π, and $|\pi|$ the sum of the parts of π. For $\vec{\pi} = (\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3, \pi^4) \in \overline{V}$, we define the weight $\omega(\vec{\pi}) = (-1)^{\#(\pi^1)-1}$, the $\mathrm{crank}(\vec{\pi}) = \#(\pi_e^2) - \#(\pi_e^3)$, and the norm $|\vec{\pi}| = |\pi^1| + |\pi^2| + |\pi^3| + |\pi^4|$. We say $\vec{\pi}$ is a vector partition of n if $|\vec{\pi}| = n$.

By writing the summands of $\mathrm{S}(z,q)$ as

$$q^{2n}\left(q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty \cdot \frac{1}{\left(zq^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(z^{-1}q^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty} \cdot \left(q^{2n+1};q\right)_\infty, \tag{3.1}$$

we see $N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)$ is the number of vector partitions $(\pi^1,\pi^2,\pi^3,\pi^4)$ from $\overline{V}$ of n with the additional constraints that π^1 is non-empty, $s(\pi^1) \leq s(\pi^2)$, $s(\pi^1) \leq s(\pi^3)$, $s(\pi^1) < s(\pi^4)$, and $s(\pi^1)$ is even, but counted with the weight ω.

However, this interpretation hides the fact that each $N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)$ is non-negative, as we are counting with a weight that may be negative. We can also interpret $N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)$ in terms of partition pairs. This interpretation makes the non-negativity clear. Using the q-binomial theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}\left(q^{4n+2};q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(zq^{2n},z^{-1}q^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{\left(zq^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(zq^{2n+2};q^2\right)_k z^{-k}q^{2nk}}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_k} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{\left(zq^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-k}q^{2n+2nk}}{\left(1-zq^{2n}\right)\left(zq^{2n+2k+2};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_k \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{\left(zq^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}\left(q^2;q^2\right)_n}{\left(1-zq^{2n}\right)\left(q^2;q^2\right)_{n+k}\left(zq^{2n+2k+2};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty} \cdot \frac{z^{-k}q^{2nk}\left(q^2;q^2\right)_{n+k}}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_k \left(q^2;q^2\right)_n \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{\left(zq^{2n};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty^2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{\left(1-zq^{2n}\right)\left(q^{2n+2};q^2\right)_k \left(zq^{2n+2k+2};q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty} \cdot \frac{z^{-k}q^{2nk}\left(q^2;q^2\right)_{n+k}}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_k \left(q^2;q^2\right)_n \left(q^{2n+1};q^2\right)_\infty}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We can now give the partition pair interpretation. We let PP_2 denote the set of partition pairs (π^1,π^2) such that π^1 is non-empty, $s(\pi^1)$ is even, $s(\pi^1) \leq s(\pi^2)$, and the even parts of π^2 are at most $2s(\pi^1)$. For such a partition pair we let $k(\pi^1,\pi^2)$ denote the number of even parts of π^1 that are either the smallest part or are larger than $s(\pi_1)+2\#(\pi_e^2)$. We note when π^2 contains no even parts that $k(\pi_1,\pi_2)$ reduces to $\#(\pi_e^1)$. We define a crank on the elements of PP_2 by

$$c(\pi_1,\pi_2) = k(\pi^1,\pi^2) - \#(\pi_e^2) - 1. \tag{3.3}$$

We claim $N_{\mathrm{S}}(m,n)$ is also the number of partitions pairs of n from PP_2 with $c(\pi^1,\pi^2) = m$.

For this we note the first series in (3.2) gives the cases when π^2 has no even parts. The second series in (3.2) gives the cases when π^2 has even parts, since $\frac{q^{2nk}\left(q^2;q^2\right)_{n+k}}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_k\left(q^2;q^2\right)_n}$ is the generating function for partitions into even parts with exactly k parts and each part between $2n$ and $4n$ (inclusive).

It may be possible to define a bijection from these partition pairs to marked overpartitions with smallest part even, and through that determine a crank defined on marked overpartitions. However, we do not pursue that here.

4. Remarks

While $\mathrm{S}(\zeta_3,q)$ can be used to prove $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2(3n) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, we cannot use $\mathrm{S}(\zeta_5,q)$ to prove $\overline{\mathrm{spt}}_2(5n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$. In particular we find the coefficient of q^8 in $\mathrm{S}(\zeta_5,q)$ to be $z^3+z^2+3z+5+3z^{-1}+z^{-2}+z^{-3}$. That is to say, $N_{\mathrm{S}}(0,5,8) = 5$, $N_{\mathrm{S}}(1,5,8) = 3$, $N_{\mathrm{S}}(2,5,8) = 2$, $N_{\mathrm{S}}(3,5,8) = 2$, and $N_{\mathrm{S}}(4,5,8) = 3$.

However $\overline{\text{spt}}_2\,(5n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ does follow by considering $\overline{\text{S}}_2(\zeta_5, q)$. This can be compared with the rank of a partition explaining the congruences for $p(5n+4)$ and $p(7n+5)$ but not $p(11n+6)$, whereas the crank of an partition does explain all three.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews. The number of smallest parts in the partitions of n. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 624:133–142, 2008.

[2] G. E. Andrews, F. G. Garvan, and J. Liang. Combinatorial interpretations of congruences for the spt-function. *Ramanujan J.*, 29(1-3):321–338, 2012.

[3] K. Bringmann, J. Lovejoy, and R. Osburn. Rank and crank moments for overpartitions. *J. Number Theory*, 129(7):1758–1772, 2009.

[4] F. Garvan and C. Jennings-Shaffer. The spt-crank for overpartitions. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2013.

[5] C. Jennings-Shaffer. Higher order spt functions for overpartitions, overpartitions with smallest part even, and partitions without repeated odd parts. *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2014.

[6] J. Lovejoy and R. Osburn. Rank differences for overpartitions. *Q. J. Math.*, 59(2):257–273, 2008.

[7] J. Lovejoy and R. Osburn. M_2-rank differences for partitions without repeated odd parts. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 21(2):313–334, 2009.

[8] J. Lovejoy and R. Osburn. M_2-rank differences for overpartitions. *Acta Arith.*, 144(2):193–212, 2010.

Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA
cjenningsshaffer@ufl.edu